

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,246	01/15/2002	Bum Ki Moon	12205/3	9223
7.	590 07/15/2003	•		
HORIZON IP PTE LTD			EXAMINER	
166 KALLANG WAY SINGAPORE, 349249			NGUYEN, CUONG QUANG	
SINGAPORE, SINGAPORE	349249	·		
on to the one	spe		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2811	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/050,246	MOON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
71 4441110 6477 441	Cuong Q Nguyen	2811				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with the correspondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 ·					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 6				

Application/Control Number: 10/050,246 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

 Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to

Art Unit: 2811

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is un-enablement for forming "a barrier stack" to comprise elements which do not function as a barrier layer such as capacitor structure and plug.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang et al. (US 6,339,007).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, a semiconductor device comprising: a plug (22); an adhesion layer (23) formed on the plug; a first barrier layer (27a, an Ir layer, Col.6, lines 55-65) formed on the adhesion layer; a second barrier layer (27b, an IrO2 layer. Col.6, lines 55-65) formed on the first barrier layer, wherein the grain boundaries of the first and second barrier layers are mismatched; a first capacitor electrode (28) formed on the barrier layers; a ferroelectric layer (30) formed on the first capacitor dielectric layer; a second capacitor electrode (31) formed on the ferroelectric layer. See Wang et al.'s Fig.16.

It is noted that, the layers (27a) and (27b) comprise Ir which the same material for forming the barrier layers in the present invention. So, it is inherent that layer (27a) and (27b) can function as the barrier layer and because the grain boundaries of the first and second barrier layers are mismatched, the properties of the barrier layers are enhanced.

Regarding claims 6, 7, 10, 13, 15, 17, 19, 22, 23, 26, it is noted that, in the process for forming the ferroelectric layer (including a heating process) the oxygen atoms from the ferroelectric layer iinheternly diffusing into the first capacitor electrode and the barrier layers. So, it is inherent that oxygen atoms (elements) stuffing the grain boundaries of the first barrier layer and having a diameter greater than the grain boundaries of the first barrier layer.

Regarding claims 8 -12, 14, the ferroelectric layer is considered as a first oxide layer on the first barrier layer (claims 8, 11, 14) and a second oxide layer on the second barrier layer (claims 9, 12).

Regarding claims 16, 20, 24, as above, layer (24a) is formed of Ir and layer (24b) formed of IrO2 which is different than Ir.

Regarding claims 17, 18, 21, 25, layers (24a) and (24b) comprise the same material Ir.

Conclusion

4. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC 2800

Application/Control Number: 10/050,246

Art Unit: 2811

Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must

Page 5

conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15,

1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 and 308-7724. The

Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Group 2811

applications.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from

the Examiner should be directed to CUONG Q NGUYEN whose telephone number is

(703) 308-1293. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 6:30 AM

to 5:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Thursday.

6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor TOM THOMAS who can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

308-7722 or 308-7724.

7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the Technology Center Receptionists whose telephone number is 308-

0956.

Cuong Nguyen

Primary examiner

7/2/03